

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,206	03/23/2004	Lawrence Joseph Williams	RU-0195	3286	
75	90 11/04/2005		EXAMINER		
Jane Massey Licata			KUMAR, SHAILENDRA		
Licata & Tyrrell 66 E. Main Stree			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Marlton, NJ 0	8053		1621		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/200	DATE MAILED: 11/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/807,206	WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE JOSEPH			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	SHAILENDRA KUMAR	1621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Au	<u>ıgust 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the control of the contr	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	•				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,206

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to applicant's communication filed on 8/23/05.

Claim 1 is pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is nothing in the specification that reads on the negative limitation of the claim as claimed now, thus raising new matter situation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu et al.

Instant claim is directed to a method for producing an amide comprising

combining a thio acid and an organic azide in the presence of a solvent, so that an amide is produced.

Chu et al is teaching a method for producing an amide comprising combining a thio acid and an organic azide. The difference between the reference and herein claimed method is that the reference does not use a solvent.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,206

Art Unit: 1621

However, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the a solvent, because selection of a solvent and its ration with respect to the reactants were well within the ordinary skill in the art and one of ordinary skill in the art would have optimized the same with the reasonable expectation of achieving a successful amide, absent evidence to the contrary. In re Dunn 146 USPQ 479, Applicants have not shown unexpected results.

Applicant's arguments and affidavits were considered and accordingly, Fazzio reference is withdrawn. However, applicants need to show unexpected results due to the solvent versus Chu et al reference.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA -. KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-0640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt Fri.

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571)272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAILENDRA - KUMAR Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 11/1/05